Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,461	MCCLARY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nitin C. Patel	2116
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Nitin C. Patel.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Jonathan Miller [reg. #45,534].	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: n/a.	nt's representative)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed:		
2,8,12,16, and 21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
See Communication Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
NCOV / 3/2/07		
	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has initiated an interview with attorney, Mr. Miller, to discuss regarding the allowable subject matter of the claim26, and to amend the claims 2, 8, 12, 16, and 21 with the examiner's amendment to expediate the prosecution. Attorney has authorized the examiner to amend the claims 2 - 21 as per the examiners's amendment.